



## **IDeA/LGA Survey of the Impact of the Economic Slowdown on Local Authorities 2008**

### **Summary of results**

## Table of contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Main findings</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Effect of inflationary pressure and loss of income on overall budget position</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Additional demands on services</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Capital projects and physical development</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Other issues for communities</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Impact on local third sector/voluntary organisations</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Impact on LAA performance</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Impact on the council's workforce</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Ameliorating the impact of the economic slowdown on local communities</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Opportunities afforded by the economic slowdown</b>	<b>20</b>

## Introduction

The survey was conducted by the Improvement and Development Agency for Local Government (IDeA) and the Local Government Association (LGA) in partnership with the Society of Local Authority Chief Executives and Senior Managers (SOLACE) in order to find out more about how the current economic climate is affecting local government.

The results will enable central bodies to build a national picture of the impact on a consistent basis. They will shape IDeA improvement work and LGA lobbying in response to the economic slowdown, and will have an early influence on forthcoming pay negotiations as the survey was conducted in association with Local Government Employers (LGE).

The survey was sent to all 388 chief executives of local authorities in England on 10 November 2008 by email, and, after a reminder, by 25 November. 155 (39.9 per cent) had responded, broken down by type of authority and region as follows:

Response		
Type	Nos	%
Counties	17	50.0%
Shire districts	89	37.4%
London boroughs	15	45.5%
Metropolitan districts	13	36.1%
Unitaries	21	45.7%
Total	155	39.9%
Region		
Eastern	31	57.4%
East Midlands	11	24.4%
Greater London	15	45.5%
North East	9	36.0%
North West	13	28.3%
South East	31	41.9%
South West	22	44.0%
West Midlands	12	31.6%
Yorkshire and Humberside	11	50.0%
Total	155	39.9%

The moderately high response rate across all types of authority and in all regions means that the data should provide a reasonably representative picture of how the current economic climate is affecting local government as a whole. However, the data at authority-type and regional level should be treated with a degree of caution as it is based on smaller numbers of responses. Also, some authorities did not answer every question, so the response base to individual questions can be lower than indicated in the table above.

## Main findings

- Almost three-quarters of respondents (72.9%) had revised their overall budget position since the start of year budget planning as a result of the economic slowdown, most commonly due to the loss of expected income from fees and charges.
- More than a half of respondents had already experienced additional demands on welfare/debt advice services as a result of the economic slowdown (53.8 per cent), with housing benefits applications (45.0%) not far behind. Generally, between a third and a half anticipated future additional demands.
- Around three in five respondents (60.4 per cent) had received fewer major planning applications than expected over the past two months, and slightly more (69.5 per cent) had received fewer minor applications.
- About half of respondents (50.0 per cent) indicated that existing public sector capital schemes had been adversely affected by the economic slowdown, and more than three-quarters (79.2 per cent) that private sector schemes had been adversely affected.
- Four-fifths of respondents (81.7 per cent) reported that local community cohesion had not yet changed as a result of the economic slowdown, while a half (49.7 per cent) anticipated that it would slightly deteriorate over the next twelve months.
- More than half of respondents (59.5 per cent) anticipated local employment levels falling slightly over the next twelve months, with a further fifth (20.9 per cent) anticipating levels falling greatly. However, 17.0 per cent anticipated levels increasing either slightly or greatly.
- Almost nine out of ten respondents (88.2 per cent) reported that the slowdown had had either a moderate or slight impact on local businesses so far, with most of the remainder reporting a significant impact. More than half (54.3 per cent) predicted the slowdown having a significant impact over the next twelve months.
- Just over two-thirds of respondents (68.8 per cent) reported that they were aware of local voluntary organisations having experienced increased demand for services.
- Almost nine out of ten respondents (85.7 per cent) thought that the slowdown would make it more difficult for the authority to achieve LAA targets.
- Around two-fifths of respondents (39.5 per cent) thought that the slowdown was likely to make partnership working slightly harder, while just under a third (30.3 per cent) thought it would have no effect, and around a fifth (21.7 per cent) thought it would become slightly or much easier.
- Just under one in seven respondents (13.0 per cent) had cut jobs as a result of the slowdown, and around a fifth (22.1 per cent) had introduced a recruitment freeze.
- Slightly more than a third of respondents (37.7 per cent) had taken action to protect vulnerable households as a result of the slowdown, and around three-fifths (58.3 per cent) were planning to do so.
- Two-fifths of respondents (39.4 per cent) had already taken action to protect local businesses, and almost three-fifths (58.7 per cent) were planning to do so.
- Around one in six respondents (16.3 per cent) had already taken action to support local voluntary organisations, and just over a quarter (27.6 per cent) were planning to do so.
- Almost half of respondents (47.1 per cent) indicated that the economic slowdown had presented the authority with opportunities. The two main ones identified were better partnerships and greater possibilities for buying land as a result of falling land values.

## Effect of inflationary pressure and loss of income on overall budget position

Almost three-quarters of respondents (72.9 per cent) had revised their overall budget position since the start of year budget planning as a result of the economic slowdown.

Since your start of year budget planning, has your authority had to revise its overall budget position as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	113	72.9%	9	52.9%	67	75.3%	11	73.3%	9	69.2%	17	81.0%
No	38	24.5%	5	29.4%	22	24.7%	4	26.7%	3	23.1%	4	19.0%
Don't know	4	2.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
Total	155	100.0%	17	100.0%	89	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%

Those respondents which had revised their budget position were asked to indicate the factors contributing to their decision. The most common ones were the loss of expected income from fees and charges (83.2 per cent), a reduction in receipts from the sale of assets (65.5 per cent), a reduction in interest received (65.5 per cent) and inflation costs (58.4 per cent).

Which of the following contributed to your decision to revise your budget position?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Increased demand for services	29	25.7%	3	33.3%	16	23.9%	2	18.2%	4	44.4%	4	23.5%
Loss of expected income from council tax revenue and rents	27	23.9%	1	11.1%	16	23.9%	1	9.1%	3	33.3%	6	35.3%
Loss of expected income from fees and charges	94	83.2%	4	44.4%	59	88.1%	10	90.9%	8	88.9%	13	76.5%
Reduction in receipts from the sale of assets	74	65.5%	5	55.6%	37	55.2%	10	90.9%	8	88.9%	14	82.4%
Loss of expected income from Section 106 Agreements	44	38.9%	5	55.6%	19	28.4%	4	36.4%	8	88.9%	8	47.1%
Reduction in interest received	74	65.5%	7	77.8%	48	71.6%	7	63.6%	5	55.6%	7	41.2%
Inflation costs	66	58.4%	6	66.7%	39	58.2%	8	72.7%	5	55.6%	8	47.1%
Other	16	14.2%	1	11.1%	9	13.4%	1	9.1%	1	11.1%	4	23.5%
Total	113	100.0%	9	100.0%	67	100.0%	11	100.0%	9	100.0%	17	100.0%

## Additional demands on services

The proportion of respondents who had already experienced additional demands on services as a result of the economic slowdown was highest in relation to people seeking welfare/debt advice (53.8 per cent), housing benefits applications (45.0 per cent), business support (38.5 per cent) and homeless persons (25.0 per cent). With the exception of homeless persons (higher) and requests for school places (lower), between around a third and a half anticipated future additional demands. In some cases, almost a half of respondents were unable to say.

Has your authority experienced, or do you anticipate, additional demands on its services as a result of the economic slowdown?												
Number of housing benefit applications												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	67	45.0%	2	16.7%	44	50.0%	6	40.0%	9	69.2%	6	28.6%
Anticipated	67	45.0%	1	8.3%	42	47.7%	9	60.0%	4	30.8%	11	52.4%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	6	4.0%	5	41.7%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Don't know	9	6.0%	4	33.3%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	19.0%
Total	149	100.0%	12	100.0%	88	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%
Number of homeless persons												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	37	25.0%	0	0.0%	25	29.1%	3	20.0%	6	46.2%	3	14.3%
Anticipated	83	56.1%	4	30.8%	55	64.0%	9	60.0%	4	30.8%	11	52.4%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	12	8.1%	3	23.1%	5	5.8%	2	13.3%	1	7.7%	1	4.8%
Don't know	16	10.8%	6	46.2%	1	1.2%	1	6.7%	2	15.4%	6	28.6%
Total	148	100.0%	13	100.0%	86	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%
Requests for school places												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	6	6.2%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%
Anticipated	12	12.4%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	5	33.3%	2	18.2%	1	5.3%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	35	36.1%	1	7.1%	13	34.2%	5	33.3%	6	54.5%	10	52.6%
Don't know	44	45.4%	6	42.9%	25	65.8%	3	20.0%	2	18.2%	8	42.1%
Total	97	100.0%	14	100.0%	38	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	19	100.0%
Requests for free school meals												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	5	5.1%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	2	16.7%	1	5.0%
Anticipated	35	35.7%	9	64.3%	0	0.0%	9	64.3%	7	58.3%	10	50.0%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	19	19.4%	1	7.1%	12	31.6%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%
Don't know	39	39.8%	3	21.4%	26	68.4%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	7	35.0%
Total	98	100.0%	14	100.0%	38	100.0%	14	100.0%	12	100.0%	20	100.0%
Children's services												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	6	6.1%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	3	27.3%	1	5.0%
Anticipated	30	30.3%	9	60.0%	0	0.0%	6	40.0%	5	45.5%	10	50.0%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	23	23.2%	1	6.7%	12	31.6%	5	33.3%	1	9.1%	4	20.0%
Don't know	40	40.4%	4	26.7%	26	68.4%	3	20.0%	2	18.2%	5	25.0%
Total	99	100.0%	15	100.0%	38	100.0%	15	100.0%	11	100.0%	20	100.0%
Mental health services												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	25.0%	1	4.8%
Anticipated	35	35.4%	8	57.1%	2	5.3%	9	64.3%	6	50.0%	10	47.6%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	15	15.2%	0	0.0%	11	28.9%	2	14.3%	1	8.3%	1	4.8%
Don't know	45	45.5%	6	42.9%	25	65.8%	3	21.4%	2	16.7%	9	42.9%
Total	99	100.0%	14	100.0%	38	100.0%	14	100.0%	12	100.0%	21	100.0%

<b>Numbers seeking welfare/debt advice</b>												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	70	53.8%	7	46.7%	34	51.5%	11	73.3%	8	61.5%	10	47.6%
Anticipated	47	36.2%	5	33.3%	26	39.4%	3	20.0%	3	23.1%	10	47.6%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	3	2.3%	0	0.0%	2	3.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Don't know	10	7.7%	3	20.0%	4	6.1%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	1	4.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Business support</b>												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Already experienced	52	38.5%	7	46.7%	21	29.2%	7	50.0%	9	69.2%	8	38.1%
Anticipated	64	47.4%	7	46.7%	44	61.1%	2	14.3%	2	15.4%	9	42.9%
Neither experienced nor anticipated	13	9.6%	0	0.0%	6	8.3%	4	28.6%	1	7.7%	2	9.5%
Don't know	6	4.4%	1	6.7%	1	1.4%	1	7.1%	1	7.7%	2	9.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Capital projects and physical development

Around three in five respondents (60.4 per cent) had received fewer major planning applications than expected over the past two months, and slightly more (69.5 per cent) had received fewer minor applications.

Was the number of planning applications received by your authority over the past two months lower than you would normally expect?												
Major applications												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	87	60.4%	3	27.3%	53	63.1%	9	60.0%	9	69.2%	13	61.9%
No	47	32.6%	3	27.3%	29	34.5%	4	26.7%	4	30.8%	7	33.3%
Don't know	10	6.9%	5	45.5%	2	2.4%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%
Total	144	100.0%	11	100.0%	84	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%
Minor applications												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	98	69.5%	3	30.0%	59	71.1%	8	53.3%	12	92.3%	16	80.0%
No	35	24.8%	2	20.0%	23	27.7%	6	40.0%	1	7.7%	3	15.0%
Don't know	8	5.7%	5	50.0%	1	1.2%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%
Total	141	100.0%	10	100.0%	83	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	20	100.0%

A half of respondents (50.0 per cent) indicated that existing public sector capital schemes had been adversely affected by the economic slowdown, and more than three-quarters (79.2 per cent) that private sector schemes had been adversely affected.

Have existing capital schemes been adversely affected by the economic slowdown?												
Public sector												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	74	50.0%	10	58.8%	37	44.6%	7	50.0%	10	76.9%	10	47.6%
No	68	45.9%	4	23.5%	43	51.8%	7	50.0%	3	23.1%	11	52.4%
Don't know	6	4.1%	3	17.6%	3	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	148	100.0%	17	100.0%	83	100.0%	14	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%
Private sector												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	118	79.2%	12	70.6%	64	77.1%	11	73.3%	13	100.0%	18	85.7%
No	18	12.1%	2	11.8%	12	14.5%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%
Don't know	13	8.7%	3	17.6%	7	8.4%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%
Total	149	100.0%	17	100.0%	83	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%

Three-quarters of respondents who indicated that public sector schemes had been affected reported that they had been affected by falling land values (75.7 per cent), with the next most common factor being developers' lack of business confidence (50.0 per cent). Private sector schemes had mostly been affected by developers' lack of business confidence (89.0 per cent), developers' lack of finance (77.1 per cent) and falls in land value (50.8 per cent).

How have capital schemes been affected?												
Public sector												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Fall in land value	56	75.7%	7	70.0%	29	78.4%	6	85.7%	8	80.0%	6	60.0%
Developers' lack of finance	29	39.2%	4	40.0%	16	43.2%	3	42.9%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%
Re-negotiation of Section 106 Agreements	11	14.9%	1	10.0%	6	16.2%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%
Developers' lack of business/market confidence	37	50.0%	5	50.0%	17	45.9%	3	42.9%	6	60.0%	6	60.0%
Other	4	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%
Total	74	100.0%	10	100.0%	37	100.0%	7	100.0%	10	100.0%	10	100.0%

Private sector												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Fall in land value	60	50.8%	7	58.3%	27	42.2%	7	63.6%	9	69.2%	10	55.6%
Developers' lack of finance	91	77.1%	10	83.3%	47	73.4%	7	63.6%	11	84.6%	16	88.9%
Re-negotiation of Section 106 Agreements	32	27.1%	5	41.7%	13	20.3%	3	27.3%	7	53.8%	4	22.2%
Developers' lack of business/market confidence	105	89.0%	12	100.0%	56	87.5%	8	72.7%	11	84.6%	18	100.0%
Other	3	2.5%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
Total	118	100.0%	12	100.0%	64	100.0%	11	100.0%	13	100.0%	18	100.0%

## Other issues for communities

Four-fifths of respondents (81.7 per cent) reported that local community cohesion had not yet changed as a result of the economic slowdown, with half the remainder unable to say. This proportion was lower in counties (47.1 per cent), where there was a correspondingly higher proportion unable to answer the question.

In your opinion, how has local community cohesion changed as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Greatly improved	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
Slightly improved	5	3.3%	1	5.9%	3	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%
Not changed	125	81.7%	8	47.1%	73	83.9%	14	93.3%	11	84.6%	19	90.5%
Slightly deteriorated	7	4.6%	2	11.8%	5	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Greatly deteriorated	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Don't know	15	9.8%	6	35.3%	6	6.9%	1	6.7%	1	7.7%	1	4.8%
Total	153	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%

A half of respondents (49.7 per cent) anticipated that local community cohesion would slightly deteriorate over the next twelve months, with just over a quarter anticipating no change (28.8 per cent) and around one in six (15.0 per cent) unable to say.

How do you anticipate local community cohesion changing over the next twelve months as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Greatly improving	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Slightly improving	9	5.9%	1	5.9%	7	8.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%
Not changing	44	28.8%	4	23.5%	26	29.9%	5	33.3%	5	38.5%	4	19.0%
Slightly deteriorating	76	49.7%	7	41.2%	43	49.4%	8	53.3%	6	46.2%	12	57.1%
Greatly deteriorating	1	0.7%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Don't know	23	15.0%	4	23.5%	11	12.6%	2	13.3%	2	15.4%	4	19.0%
Total	153	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%

More than half of respondents (59.5 per cent) anticipated local employment levels slightly falling over the next twelve months, with a further fifth (20.9 per cent) anticipating levels greatly falling. However, 17.0 per cent anticipated levels either slightly or greatly increasing.

How do you anticipate local employment levels changing over the next twelve months as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Greatly increasing	8	5.2%	1	5.9%	4	4.6%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Slightly increasing	18	11.8%	1	5.9%	10	11.5%	2	13.3%	2	15.4%	3	14.3%
Not changing	2	1.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Slightly falling	91	59.5%	8	47.1%	54	62.1%	7	46.7%	8	61.5%	14	66.7%
Greatly falling	32	20.9%	6	35.3%	18	20.7%	2	13.3%	3	23.1%	3	14.3%
Don't know	2	1.3%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%
Total	153	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%

Almost nine out of ten respondents (88.2 per cent) reported that the slowdown had had either a moderate or slight impact on local businesses so far, with most of the remainder reporting a significant impact. Over the next twelve months, more than half (54.3 per cent) predicted the slowdown having a significant impact.

How would you rate the impact of the economic slowdown on local businesses so far?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
A significant impact	15	9.9%	0	0.0%	8	9.2%	0	0.0%	5	38.5%	2	10.0%
A moderate impact	67	44.1%	13	76.5%	34	39.1%	5	33.3%	3	23.1%	12	60.0%
A slight impact	67	44.1%	4	23.5%	44	50.6%	8	53.3%	5	38.5%	6	30.0%
No impact at all	3	2.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Don't know	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	152	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	20	100.0%

What do you anticipate the impact to be on local businesses over the next twelve months?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
A significant impact	82	54.3%	10	58.8%	43	50.0%	6	40.0%	9	69.2%	14	70.0%
A moderate impact	59	39.1%	4	23.5%	40	46.5%	7	46.7%	3	23.1%	5	25.0%
A slight impact	6	4.0%	2	11.8%	2	2.3%	1	6.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
No impact at all	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Don't know	4	2.6%	1	5.9%	1	1.2%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%
Total	151	100.0%	17	100.0%	86	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	20	100.0%

## Impact on local third sector/voluntary organisations

Four-fifths of respondents (81.8 per cent) had not been asked to give emergency grants to local third sector/voluntary organisations in trouble as a result of the slowdown, while one in ten (10.4 per cent) had been asked.

Has your authority been asked to give emergency grants to local third sector/voluntary organisations in trouble as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	16	10.4%	2	11.8%	7	8.0%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%	4	18.2%
No	126	81.8%	10	58.8%	77	88.5%	15	100.0%	8	61.5%	16	72.7%
Don't know	12	7.8%	5	29.4%	3	3.4%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	2	9.1%
Total	154	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	22	100.0%

Just over two-thirds of respondents (68.8 per cent) reported that they were aware of local voluntary organisations having experienced increased demand for services, most of the remainder (28.6 per cent) being unable to say. Almost all of those which were aware of increased demand (93.4 per cent) reported that debt counselling services had been affected. Other commonly affected services were housing advice (68.9 per cent) and employment advice (50.0 per cent).

As far as you are aware, have voluntary organisations in your area (e.g. CAB) experienced increased demand for services as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	106	68.8%	12	70.6%	61	70.1%	8	53.3%	8	61.5%	17	77.3%
No	4	2.6%	0	0.0%	2	2.3%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Don't know	44	28.6%	5	29.4%	24	27.6%	5	33.3%	5	38.5%	5	22.7%
Total	154	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	22	100.0%

For which services have local voluntary organisations experienced increased demand as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Debt counselling	99	93.4%	11	91.7%	57	93.4%	8	100.0%	7	87.5%	16	94.1%
Relationship counselling	18	17.0%	2	16.7%	10	16.4%	2	25.0%	3	37.5%	1	5.9%
Housing advice	73	68.9%	9	75.0%	45	73.8%	6	75.0%	5	62.5%	8	47.1%
Employment advice	53	50.0%	5	41.7%	31	50.8%	6	75.0%	3	37.5%	8	47.1%
Migrant unemployment advice	12	11.3%	3	25.0%	5	8.2%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	3	17.6%
Legal advice	13	12.3%	2	16.7%	6	9.8%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	2	11.8%
Other	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	1	5.9%
Don't know	6	5.7%	1	8.3%	3	4.9%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	1	5.9%
Total	106	100.0%	12	100.0%	61	100.0%	8	100.0%	8	100.0%	17	100.0%

## Impact on LAA performance

Almost nine out of ten respondents (85.7 per cent) thought that the slowdown would make it more difficult for the authority to achieve LAA targets. Targets most likely to be affected were those in employment and skills (84.1 per cent) and housing (79.5 per cent).

In your opinion, will the economic slowdown make it more difficult for your authority to achieve LAA targets?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	132	85.7%	16	94.1%	70	80.5%	12	80.0%	12	92.3%	22	100.0%
No	11	7.1%	0	0.0%	10	11.5%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
Don't know	11	7.1%	1	5.9%	7	8.0%	3	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	154	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	22	100.0%
In which areas will the economic slowdown make it more difficult for your authority to achieve LAA targets?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Housing	105	79.5%	13	81.3%	59	84.3%	10	83.3%	10	83.3%	13	59.1%
Employment and skills	111	84.1%	16	100.0%	55	78.6%	11	91.7%	12	100.0%	17	77.3%
Health	24	18.2%	4	25.0%	13	18.6%	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%
Community safety	46	34.8%	6	37.5%	25	35.7%	4	33.3%	6	50.0%	5	22.7%
Stronger communities	32	24.2%	2	12.5%	18	25.7%	3	25.0%	6	50.0%	3	13.6%
Climate change and sustainability	34	25.8%	4	25.0%	19	27.1%	3	25.0%	3	25.0%	5	22.7%
Transport and access	31	23.5%	5	31.3%	16	22.9%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	8	36.4%
Other	6	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.9%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	3	13.6%
Total	132	100.0%	16	100.0%	70	100.0%	12	100.0%	12	100.0%	22	100.0%

Around two-fifths of respondents (39.5 per cent) thought that the slowdown was likely to make partnership working slightly harder, while just under a third (30.3 per cent) thought it would have no effect, and around a fifth (21.7 per cent) thought it would become slightly or much easier.

In your opinion, how is the economic slowdown likely to affect your authority's partnership working?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Make it much easier	2	1.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%
Make it slightly easier	31	20.4%	6	35.3%	13	14.9%	4	26.7%	5	41.7%	3	14.3%
No effect	46	30.3%	3	17.6%	27	31.0%	4	26.7%	4	33.3%	8	38.1%
Make it slightly harder	60	39.5%	6	35.3%	38	43.7%	5	33.3%	2	16.7%	9	42.9%
Make it much harder	8	5.3%	1	5.9%	5	5.7%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%
Don't know	5	3.3%	1	5.9%	3	3.4%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	152	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	12	100.0%	21	100.0%

## Impact on the council's workforce

Just under one in seven respondents (13.0 per cent) had cut jobs as a result of the slowdown, and around a fifth (22.1 per cent) had introduced a recruitment freeze (though none in London).

Has the economic slowdown resulted in any job losses in your authority?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	20	13.0%	1	5.9%	11	12.6%	1	6.7%	3	23.1%	4	18.2%
No	130	84.4%	13	76.5%	76	87.4%	14	93.3%	9	69.2%	18	81.8%
Don't know	4	2.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
Total	154	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	22	100.0%
Has your authority introduced a recruitment freeze as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	34	22.1%	4	23.5%	22	25.3%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%	5	22.7%
No	120	77.9%	13	76.5%	65	74.7%	15	100.0%	10	76.9%	17	77.3%
Don't know	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	154	100.0%	17	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	22	100.0%

## Ameliorating the impact of the economic slowdown on local communities

Just over a half of respondents (56.2 per cent) had already taken a briefing to members on the impact of the slowdown and around a further quarter (27.5 per cent) were preparing to do so.

Has your authority taken a briefing to members on the impact of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes, already taken briefing	86	56.2%	8	50.0%	47	54.0%	9	60.0%	8	61.5%	14	63.6%
No, but currently preparing to take briefing	42	27.5%	7	43.8%	21	24.1%	5	33.3%	5	38.5%	4	18.2%
No, but considering preparing a briefing	19	12.4%	1	6.3%	14	16.1%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	3	13.6%
No, and no plans to prepare a briefing yet	6	3.9%	0	0.0%	5	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%
Total	153	100.0%	16	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	22	100.0%

A little under a half of respondents (44.6 per cent) had held an event or summit with partner organisations to discuss the slowdown, and in a little over a third (36.3 per cent) the strategic partnership had held such an event.

Has your authority held any events or summits with partner organisations to discuss issues raised by the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	66	44.6%	10	62.5%	27	31.8%	6	46.2%	9	69.2%	14	66.7%
No	82	55.4%	6	37.5%	58	68.2%	7	53.8%	4	30.8%	7	33.3%
Don't know	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	148	100.0%	16	100.0%	85	100.0%	13	100.0%	13	100.0%	21	100.0%

  

Has your strategic partnership held any events or summits with partner organisations to discuss issues raised by the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	53	36.3%	7	53.8%	22	25.9%	4	26.7%	8	61.5%	12	60.0%
No	86	58.9%	6	46.2%	58	68.2%	10	66.7%	4	30.8%	8	40.0%
Don't know	7	4.8%	0	0.0%	5	5.9%	1	6.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%
Total	146	100.0%	13	100.0%	85	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	20	100.0%

Slightly more than a third of respondents (37.7 per cent) had taken action to protect vulnerable households as a result of the slowdown, and around three-fifths (58.3 per cent) were planning to do so.

Has your authority taken any action to protect vulnerable households as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	46	37.7%	4	33.3%	23	31.5%	6	40.0%	6	66.7%	7	53.8%
No	68	55.7%	7	58.3%	47	64.4%	9	60.0%	1	11.1%	4	30.8%
Don't know	8	6.6%	1	8.3%	3	4.1%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	2	15.4%
Total	122	100.0%	12	100.0%	73	100.0%	15	100.0%	9	100.0%	13	100.0%

  

Is your authority planning to take any action to protect vulnerable households as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	74	58.3%	9	56.3%	36	51.4%	8	57.1%	8	80.0%	13	76.5%
No	32	25.2%	4	25.0%	23	32.9%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%
Don't know	21	16.5%	3	18.8%	11	15.7%	2	14.3%	2	20.0%	3	17.6%
Total	127	100.0%	16	100.0%	70	100.0%	14	100.0%	10	100.0%	17	100.0%

Of those which had already taken action, almost three-quarters (73.9 per cent) had encouraged the take-up of benefits, around a half (52.2 per cent) had provided free energy efficiency advice, and a slightly smaller proportion had formed or supported a credit union (45.7 per cent) and provided or augmented debt of financial advice (43.5 per cent). A wide range of actions had been taken by smaller numbers of authorities.

Actions taken												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. Districts		Unitaries	
Provision of mortgage assistance loan scheme	8	17.4%	0	0.0%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	1	14.3%
Formation of or support for credit unions	21	45.7%	2	50.0%	10	43.5%	2	33.3%	5	83.3%	2	28.6%
Provision of free or discounted home insulation	15	32.6%	0	0.0%	8	34.8%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	2	28.6%
Provision of free energy efficiency advice	24	52.2%	1	25.0%	13	56.5%	3	50.0%	5	83.3%	2	28.6%
Encouraging take-up of benefits	34	73.9%	2	50.0%	17	73.9%	5	83.3%	5	83.3%	5	71.4%
Development of plans to build more council houses	5	10.9%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%
Provision of council tax rebate scheme	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%
Provision or augmentation of debt or financial advice	20	43.5%	2	50.0%	8	34.8%	2	33.3%	5	83.3%	3	42.9%
Other	4	8.7%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

The actions most commonly planned were similar to those already taken: encouraging take-up of benefits (68.9 per cent), provision of debt or financial advice (45.9 per cent) and formation of or support for credit unions (33.8 per cent).

Actions planned												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Provision of mortgage assistance loan scheme	9	12.2%	0	0.0%	6	16.7%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	1	7.7%
Formation of or support for credit unions	25	33.8%	3	33.3%	11	30.6%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	5	38.5%
Provision of free or discounted home insulation	15	20.3%	0	0.0%	10	27.8%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	15.4%
Provision of free energy efficiency advice	20	27.0%	1	11.1%	11	30.6%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	3	23.1%
Encouraging take-up of benefits	51	68.9%	5	55.6%	29	80.6%	5	62.5%	6	75.0%	6	46.2%
Development of plans to build more council houses	9	12.2%	0	0.0%	2	5.6%	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	3	23.1%
Provision of council tax rebate scheme	3	4.1%	1	11.1%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Provision or augmentation of debt or financial advice	34	45.9%	4	44.4%	18	50.0%	6	75.0%	3	37.5%	3	23.1%
Other	4	5.4%	1	11.1%	2	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Two-fifths of respondents (39.4 per cent) had already taken action to protect local businesses, and almost three-fifths (58.7 per cent) were planning to do so.

Has your authority taken any action to protect local businesses as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	50	39.4%	7	58.3%	24	31.6%	3	23.1%	7	63.6%	9	60.0%
No	73	57.5%	4	33.3%	51	67.1%	10	76.9%	3	27.3%	5	33.3%
Don't know	4	3.1%	1	8.3%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	6.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Is your authority planning to take any action to protect local businesses as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	74	58.7%	12	85.7%	36	50.0%	5	41.7%	10	90.9%	11	64.7%
No	34	27.0%	0	0.0%	27	37.5%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	4	23.5%
Don't know	18	14.3%	2	14.3%	9	12.5%	4	33.3%	1	9.1%	2	11.8%
Total	126	100.0%	14	100.0%	72	100.0%	12	100.0%	11	100.0%	17	100.0%

Three-quarters of respondents (74.0 per cent) had started to pay bills quicker, almost two-thirds (62.0 per cent) had stimulated local regeneration or development, around a half (52.0 per cent) had provided tailored business advice or support, and around a third had changed contracts to lawfully give preference to local contractors (34.0 per cent), publicised contracts for local businesses more widely (32.0 per cent) and encouraged take-up of small business rate relief (30.0 per cent).

Actions taken												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Paying bills quicker	37	74.0%	5	71.4%	19	79.2%	2	66.7%	5	71.4%	6	66.7%
Changing contract arrangements to lawfully give preference to local contractors	17	34.0%	5	71.4%	6	25.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	3	33.3%
Provision of tailored business advice or support	26	52.0%	4	57.1%	13	54.2%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	5	55.6%
Encouraging take-up of small business rate relief	15	30.0%	0	0.0%	8	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	42.9%	2	22.2%
Deferring business rates	7	14.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	1	33.3%	3	42.9%	1	11.1%
Stimulating local regeneration or development	31	62.0%	6	85.7%	14	58.3%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	8	88.9%
Wider publicising of contracts for local businesses	16	32.0%	2	28.6%	7	29.2%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	3	33.3%
Local labour clauses	4	8.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	11.1%
Other	10	20.0%	1	14.3%	4	16.7%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	2	22.2%
Total	50	100.0%	7	100.0%	24	100.0%	3	100.0%	7	100.0%	9	100.0%

The main actions being planned to protect local businesses were again similar: paying bills quicker (55.4 per cent), stimulating local regeneration or development (47.3 per cent), provision of tailored business advice (40.5 per cent) and encouraging take-up of small business rate relief (39.2 per cent).

Actions planned												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Paying bills quicker	41	55.4%	8	66.7%	20	55.6%	5	100.0%	3	30.0%	5	45.5%
Changing contract arrangements to lawfully give preference to local contractors	10	13.5%	1	8.3%	4	11.1%	1	20.0%	2	20.0%	2	18.2%
Provision of tailored business advice or support	30	40.5%	4	33.3%	14	38.9%	3	60.0%	5	50.0%	4	36.4%
Encouraging take-up of small business rate relief	29	39.2%	3	25.0%	18	50.0%	3	60.0%	2	20.0%	3	27.3%
Deferring business rates	7	9.5%	0	0.0%	4	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%
Stimulating local regeneration or development	35	47.3%	4	33.3%	19	52.8%	2	40.0%	6	60.0%	4	36.4%
Wider publicising of contracts for local businesses	19	25.7%	4	33.3%	8	22.2%	3	60.0%	2	20.0%	2	18.2%
Local labour clauses	6	8.1%	2	16.7%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	1	9.1%
Other	3	4.1%	1	8.3%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%
Total	74	100.0%	12	100.0%	36	100.0%	5	100.0%	10	100.0%	11	100.0%

Respondents were less likely to have taken, or be planning to take, action to support local voluntary organisations. Around one in six (16.3 per cent) had already taken action, and just over a quarter (27.6 per cent) planned to do so (although almost a third were unable to say).

Has your authority taken any action to support local voluntary organisations as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	21	16.3%	3	23.1%	13	17.1%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	2	11.8%
No	89	69.0%	4	30.8%	59	77.6%	11	91.7%	4	36.4%	11	64.7%
Don't know	19	14.7%	6	46.2%	4	5.3%	1	8.3%	4	36.4%	4	23.5%
Total	129	100.0%	13	100.0%	76	100.0%	12	100.0%	11	100.0%	17	100.0%

  

Is your authority planning to take any action to support local voluntary organisations as a result of the economic slowdown?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	37	27.6%	6	37.5%	20	26.7%	4	30.8%	2	18.2%	5	26.3%
No	55	41.0%	3	18.8%	37	49.3%	7	53.8%	0	0.0%	8	42.1%
Don't know	42	31.3%	7	43.8%	18	24.0%	2	15.4%	9	81.8%	6	31.6%
Total	134	100.0%	16	100.0%	75	100.0%	13	100.0%	11	100.0%	19	100.0%

Of those respondents which had taken action, two-fifths (42.9 per cent) had made voluntary services more accessible, slightly fewer (38.1 per cent) had offered financial assistance and just over a quarter (28.6 per cent) had provided hardship schemes.

Actions taken												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Provision of hardship schemes (one-off assistance)	6	28.6%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	2	100.0%
Making services more accessible (e.g. hosting at council offices)	9	42.9%	0	0.0%	6	46.2%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	100.0%
Offering financial assistance	8	38.1%	0	0.0%	5	38.5%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	50.0%
Other	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Total	21	100.0%	3	100.0%	13	100.0%	0	100.0%	3	100.0%	2	100.0%

The two most commonly planned actions were offering financial assistance (48.6 per cent) and making services more accessible (40.5 per cent).

Actions planned												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Provision of hardship schemes (one-off assistance)	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Making services more accessible (e.g. hosting at council offices)	15	40.5%	5	83.3%	5	25.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%
Offering financial assistance	18	48.6%	1	16.7%	13	65.0%	2	50.0%	1	50.0%	1	20.0%
Other	5	13.5%	1	16.7%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%
Total	37	100.0%	6	100.0%	20	100.0%	4	100.0%	2	100.0%	5	100.0%

Respondents were asked to describe up to two main things they would like the LGA group to do to help authorities ameliorate the impact of the slowdown on their communities.

The most frequently cited action (40 authorities) was the need for some kind of additional funding to help authorities with the extra pressures placed upon them by the economic slowdown and to encourage economic development in their local area. With regard to existing funding, respondents commented that the LGA should lobby government, firstly to ensure that coming funding settlements take account of the local effects of the slowdown (17 authorities), and, secondly to introduce more flexibility into the way current funds can be used (17 authorities).

Thirty authorities requested that the LGA group disseminate advice and examples of good practice of successful interventions (some acknowledging that this was already being done). In addition, a number of respondents asked for regular data and intelligence on the local impacts of the slowdown. A number of respondents asked for the LGA group to facilitate joint working between authorities and partners more widely.

Twenty-six authorities stated that the LGA should be lobbying government to reduce burdens of new initiatives, simplify existing initiatives, and push for new initiatives that would encourage economic growth or infrastructure development. Respondents were also keen for the LGA to lobby government to revise LAA targets to reflect the economic pressures local authorities face.

Eighteen authorities asked that LGA champion the leadership role of local authorities and the key part they play in solutions to the economic issues, but also raise awareness of the pressure the slowdown is placing on authorities.

Other lobbying actions cited by respondents included: encouraging government to communicate its plans quickly and clearly to local authorities, lobbying for revision of business rates, encouraging government to lobby banks on interest rates and lending terms for SMEs, and lobbying government for further initiatives to support SMEs.

## Opportunities afforded by the economic slowdown

Almost half of respondents (47.1 per cent) indicated that the economic slowdown had presented their authorities with opportunities.

Has the economic slowdown presented your authority with opportunities?												
	Total		Counties		Shire districts		London boro's		Met. districts		Unitaries	
Yes	72	47.1%	10	62.5%	35	40.2%	9	60.0%	7	53.8%	11	50.0%
No	69	45.1%	3	18.8%	50	57.5%	4	26.7%	3	23.1%	9	40.9%
Don't know	12	7.8%	3	18.8%	2	2.3%	2	13.3%	3	23.1%	2	9.1%
Total	153	100.0%	16	100.0%	87	100.0%	15	100.0%	13	100.0%	22	100.0%

A number of respondents provided further details. The two main opportunities identified were the chance to form or improve local partnerships with other sectors and/or across political divides (18 authorities), and greater possibilities for buying land for housing or other development as a result of falling land values (17). Smaller numbers of respondents referred to the opportunity for local authorities to assume a community leadership role ("the opportunity to prove the value of local government in being responsive", one said), better value for money from contracts, added impetus for regeneration, opportunities to promote the green agenda and an easier labour market. One authority remarked on increased domestic tourism.

**Local Government Association  
November 2008**